IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE MIDDLE DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

Case No.

1:01-CR-025-01

MONROE HAWKINS,

Defendant

TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS

FILED HARRISBURG, PA

SENTENCING

AUG 2 4 2006

VOLUME 2

MARY E. D'ANDREA, CLERK Per ...

Deputy Clerk

BEFORE: HON. WILLIAM W. CALDWELL, Judge

DATE:

July 24, 2002

PLACE:

Courtroom Number One

Federal Building

Harrisburg, Pennsylvania

COUNSEL PRESENT:

WILLIAM A. BEHE, Assistant United States Attorney For - United States of America

ANTHONY N. THOMAS, Esquire For - Defendant

> Vicki L. Fox, RMR Official Reporter

	Hawkins - Sentencing 2
1	THE COURT: Good afternoon.
2	MR. BEHE: Good afternoon.
3	MR. THOMAS: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
4	THE COURT: I think the one point we were talking
5	about this morning that I haven't responded to on the record
6	is the idea that I should make a downward departure based
7	upon Mr. Hawkins' rehabilitative efforts. Well, fine.
8 .	I have determined that this is not the perfect
9	case for me to do that, and I am going to deny that request.
10	MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, may I please? Earlier
11	you questioned whether or not there was an objection with
12	regard to the criminal history category. I believe there
13	may have been some confusion.
14	I was assuming that we were talking about the
15	criminal history points which affected the offense level.
16	And the idea and, again, this was confusing as to whether
17	or not that was resolved is really not it is not
18	resolved. If I can briefly just present
19	THE COURT: Sure.
20	MR. THOMAS: a quick argument. Your Honor,
21	the defendant would like to respectfully request a departure
22	and a reduction of the criminal history category. This
23	information, Your Honor, this argument actually is in our
24	memorandum in support of our objections.

THE COURT: I asked you about that this morning.

25

	Hawkins - Sentencing 3
1	MR. THOMAS: Yes, I understand. One is that the
2	criminal history category of six that has been I am sorry
3	three based on the six points seriously over represents
4	his prior criminal history. He really only had one prior
5	conviction that was more than eight years ago.
6	And his criminal history score doesn't indicate
7	likelihood that he will be committing any other offense upon
8	his release.
9	The idea of recidivism is important in this case,
10	and there is no indication there. If a downward departure
11	is
12	THE COURT: We don't call that a departure I
1.3	don't think; do we, Mr. Behe?
14	MR. BEHE: No, Your Honor.
15	MR. THOMAS: Reassignment of the category.
16	THE COURT: You are saying that the Category 3
17	overstates the seriousness of his prior criminal history and
18	you want me to go to Category 2?
19	MR. THOMAS: Yes.
20	THE COURT: Okay.
21	MR. THOMAS: In addition to that, I believe some
22	of the case law supports the idea that a defendant's prior
23	criminal history or it should be considered in light of
2.4	those defendants that are similarly situated.
25	THE COURT: Right.

g

Hawkins - Sentencing

MR. THOMAS: We do know from the prior cases that surrounded this particular set of criminal activity, those other cases where those defendants were actually convicted where there was actual physical evidence, all those folks are home today with their family.

THE COURT: Do you want to respond to that request?

MR. BEHE: Yes. Very briefly, Your Honor. I would suggest that the criminal history category under represents the seriousness of his criminal history. I mean he has one prior drug conviction. But as Your Honor knows not accepting the drug weights for relevant conduct, it nonetheless gives Your Honor a portrait of this individual's criminal background.

And the fact that he has one prior conviction for possession of 63 grams of cocaine when he admittedly was involved in nine kilograms of cocaine during a period of time that Your Honor is not going to consider is relevant conduct would lend support to an argument that Category 3 under represents it. But nonetheless, I think it adequately represents his criminal history.

THE COURT: Well, let me ask you this question:

Do you look at anything more than the offenses themselves?

You are going into the background of some of the offenses and so forth.

Q,

Hawkins - Sentencing

MR. BEHE: I think that the crime of conviction was possession with intent to distribute, and it was a drug conviction. And counsel is saying it does not -- it overstates his criminal background.

I would like to think that the conduct surrounding that activity -- or perhaps I should take a moment to refer to that section of the guidelines.

THE COURT: I would like to look at that with you, too. What section is that?

MR. THOMAS: 4(a)1.3.

THE COURT: If the reliable information indicates that the criminal history category does not adequately represent the seriousness of defendant's past criminal conduct or the likelihood that the defendant will commit other crimes, okay. So what is your point again?

MR. BEHE: The point is that it is not restricted to convictions or sentences, but should embrace his criminal conduct. And that the crime and conviction when viewed against the background of the defendant's own admitted involvement in nine kilograms of cocaine, which I think is at the low end based on Mr. Bennett's testimony, would indicate that it does under represent his criminal conduct.

I am not asking for a departure. I would just use that as a basis for the Court rejecting the request to reduce the criminal history category.

Hawkins - Sentencing

THE COURT: The thing that gets Mr. Hawkins to Category 3 are the three points that are added in sections 38 and 39 of the presentence report. Two are for committing this offense while he was in the halfway house and one for committing the offense less than two years after release from imprisonment.

Had he not had those enhancements, he would be a Category 2. Is that your understanding?

MR. BEHE: Yes. And there is really nothing about those added points that I think can be taken away by saying they overstate. They state exactly what those points are added for.

THE COURT: Okay.

MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, excuse me. It is after that point that supports the argument that had it not been for this current activity, his prior criminal history would have indicated or would have put him in that Category 2.

On the next page in that same section 4(a)1.3, the second full paragraph, last sentence, it says the Court may conclude that the defendant's criminal history was significantly less serious than that of most defendants in the same criminal history category in this case and therefore consider a downward departure from the guidelines.

Here he was a Category 3 because of this activity, the offense of conviction now. Then again those

C)

Hawkins - Sentencing

7

1 defendants that are similarly situated would have been in 2 the Category 2. So it would be appropriate to consider. 3 THE COURT: Well, it is my conclusion that I 4 think you have to look at this young man's criminal record 5 and compare that to the criminal record of many other people 6 who come in here with criminal history categories of two or 7 three. 8 I am going to perhaps extend myself further than 9 I should, but I am going to make a downward departure to 10 Category 2. 11 I think the whole thing is academic, however, 12 because the government has in this case moved for an 1.3 enhanced sentence in which the minimum that I can impose is 14 240 months. MR. BEHE: Yes, Your Honor. 15 16 THE COURT: All right. I would like everybody to 17 come up now please, and we will hear you on the question of 18 sentencina. 19 Mr. Thomas, do you have anything further that you 20 would like to say on Mr. Hawkins' behalf? 21 MR. THOMAS: Your Honor, just simply that the 22 defendant understands that the purpose today is simply to 23 impose sentence, and that he is not here to argue the merits 24 of any of this case. Simply, he prays for leniency. 25 is all.

	Hawkins - Sentencing 8
1	THE COURT: Mr. Hawkins, would you like to say
2	anything today, sir?
3	THE DEFENDANT: Yes. I would like to say that
4	sometimes, you know, you get caught into the wrong
5	association with the wrong people. I am not here to argue
6	the fact that I may not have been guilty or I may have been
7	guilty. It has really been declared by a jury of twelve.
8	I do pray that you do have leniency, that you see
9	that I am not the person that people make me out to be.
1,0	THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Behe?
11	MR. BEHE: Your Honor, my recalculation of the
12	the guidelines and the criminal history category suggest
13	and I believe I am correct that if it is a Category 2
14	with an offense level of 36, that the guideline range is 210
15	to 262 months.
16	THE COURT: That's correct.
17	MR. BEHE: That would suggest that if the Court
18	were to impose a sentence above the mandatory minimum of
19	240, that would be possible. I am not asking the Court to
20	do that. I think 20 years is a substantial sentence.
21	THE COURT: You understand, Mr. Thomas, that by
22	filing the notice, that the government was going to seek an
23	enhanced sentence?
24	MR. THOMAS: Yes.
25	THE COURT: That under the statute, specifically

G

Hawkins - Sentencing 9
841(b)(2)(I)II and the penalty provision following that, if
any person commits such a violation after prior conviction
for a felony drug offenses become final, such person shall
be sentenced to a term of imprisonment which may not be less
than 20 years. So I think the Court is obliged regardless
of how I might feel to impose that sentence.

Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, it

Pursuant to the Sentencing Reform Act of 1984, it is the judgment of the Court that the Defendant Monroe

Hawkins be committed to the custody of the Bureau of Prisons to be imprisoned for a term of 240 months.

This term consists of terms of 240 months on each of Counts I and VI, 48 months on Count III and 60 months on Count IV. Said sentence to be served concurrently.

We find that the defendant will have some ability to pay a fine in the future and accordingly order to be paid to the United States the sum of \$1400.00. This consists of a fine of \$250 and a special assessment of \$100.00 on each count.

The fine and assessment shall be paid through the Clerk of Court, are due in full immediately and are payable during the period of incarceration with any balance to be paid within ten years of his release from custody.

Upon release from imprisonment, the defendant shall be placed on supervised release for a term of ten years. This term consists of terms of ten years on each of

G

1.7

got that right.

Hawkins - Sentencing 10 Counts I and VI, one year on Count III and three years on Count VI. Said terms to be served concurrently. I hope I

Within 72 hours of release from custody, the defendant shall report in person to the Probation Office in the District to which he is released.

While on supervised release, the defendant shall comply with the standard conditions that have been adopted by this Court and with the following additional condition:

He shall pay any balance of the fine imposed by this judgment which remains unpaid at that time in installments — minimum monthly installments of no less than \$50.00.

We find that the defendant poses a low risk of future substance abuse, and therefore we suspend the mandatory drug testing requirement.

Mr. Hawkins, I now must advise you formally that you do have the right to appeal your sentence to the United States Court of Appeals. If you are unable to pay the cost of an appeal, then you must apply for leave to file informa pauperis. If approved, counsel will be appointed for you, and you will not be required to pay any costs. I am sure that Mr. Thomas will discuss that matter with you.

I just want to say to you, sir, that the evidence

-- I understand that you are continuing in your position

that you are innocent of these offenses. But I sat here and

11 Hawkins - Sentencing heard you and heard the other witnesses, and I thought the 1 2 evidence was overwhelming against you. Had you come in and pled quilty, I am sure you 3 4 would be facing a far shorter sentence than I have been 5 required to impose upon you. I am not criticizing you for going to trial, but it is just my observation under these 6 7 circumstances. I regret that that didn't occur because I 8 think it would have been in your best interest. 9 Is there anything further, Mr. Behe? 10 MR. BEHE: Yes. I move at this time, Your Honor, 11 to dismiss the original indictment in this matter. 12 THE COURT: That indictment is dismissed. 13 THE CLERK: Court is adjourned. 14 (Whereupon, the proceedings were concluded.) 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

1 I hereby certify that the proceedings and 2 evidence are contained fully and accurately in the notes taken by me on the trial of the above cause, and that this 3 copy is a correct transcript of the same. 4 5 Vicinita rma 6 Vicki L. Fox, RMR 7 8 9 The foregoing certification of this transcript 10 does not apply to any reproduction by any means unless under 1.1 the direct control and/or supervision of the certifying 12 13 reporter. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24

25